

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
8 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
9

10 DUKE M. RICHARDSON, JR.,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
14 SHERIFF'S DEPT., et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____

) Case No. EDCV 13-1922-SJO (KK)

) **ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS AND**
) **RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED**
) **STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Complaint, the
18 relevant records on file, and both the original and Final Report and Recommendation
19 of the United States Magistrate Judge. The Court has engaged in *de novo* review of
20 those portions of the original Report and Recommendation to which Plaintiff
21 objected. The Court accepts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate
22 Judge.

23 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Judgment be entered granting
24 Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment and dismissing this action with
25 prejudice.

26
27 DATED: May 28, 2015

28 

HONORABLE S. JAMES OTERO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE